

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 2257 ECSIX 104 DIV 2 01/27/2004 Steven E. Morton 10/765,635 EXAMINER 2555 7590 04/08/2005 KREMBLAS, FOSTER, PHILLIPS & POLLICK PARKER, FREDERICK JOHN 7632 SLATE RIDGE BOULEVARD ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER REYNOLDSBURG, OH 43068 1762

DATE MAILED: 04/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		LO W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/765,635	MORTON, STEVEN E.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Frederick J. Parker	1762
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR ITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the - earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a recion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT y statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>1-26-04</u> .	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is		
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)	thdrawn from consideration.	juirement.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the control of the oath or declaration is objected to by the specific sheet of the s	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to b to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand correction is required if the drawing(s	re. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		·
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. Iments have been received in Ape e priority documents have been r Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) □ 1-4-million 0	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-943) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) /Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) -

Application/Control Number: 10/765,635 Page 2

Art Unit: 1762

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, drawn to coating method, classified in class 427, subclass 180.
- II. Claims 29-34,36, drawn to coated article, classified in class 428, subclass 143.
- III. Claim 42, drawn to binding method, classified in class 156, subclass 279.
- IV. Claim 38s, drawn to flooring, classified in class 428, subclass 292.1.
- V. Claim37, drawn to method of making matrix, classified in class 156, subclass 242.
- VI. Claims 39-40, drawn to modular flooring, classified in class 428, subclass 44.
- VII. Claim 42, drawn to making modular floor unit, classified in class 264, subclass 299.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I,II, and III and IV,V and VI,VII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions In the instant case the inventions have different modes of operation or function. I,II,III involve a coating formed of a matrix on a substrate with an exposed outer particle surface; whereas IV and V function with an outer surface of a rigid flooring material. Groups VI,VII are modular units.
- 3. Inventions II,III and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

Application/Control Number: 10/765,635

Page 3

Art Unit: 1762

made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by at least the distinct methods of Groups II or III.

- 4. Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions operate by different means, e.g. in II the particles are bonded to the matrix while in fluid form whereas in III the particles are bonded by an adhesive layer.
- 5. Inventions V and VI are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a different method such as hardening the matrix before joining to the substrate.
- 6. Inventions VII and VI are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product can be made by a different method such as adhering the particles to the matrix after it is hardened by the adhesive.

7. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

8. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: 1) asphalt pavement substrate (claims 4,19) 2) wood substrate (claims 4,20) 3) fiberglass composite substrate (claims 7,22), 4) modular brick substrate (claims 8,23).

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1,14-16,29,32,35-38,42 are generic. However, the Examiner points out that claims 19-28 are active, and are dependant on a cancelled claim/s; Applicants MUST correct or clarify this issue in response, and account for any additional species which may be generated by the correction.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/765,635

Art Unit: 1762

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

9. A telephone call was not made to Jason Foster on 4-4-05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, because of the complexity of issues at hand.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick J. Parker whose telephone number is 571/272-1426. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur. 6:15am -3:45pm, and alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meeks Timothy can be reached on 571/272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Art Unit: 1762

Frederick J. Parker Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762

fjp

10.